RBNS

GAP Rule Based Network Solver

Introduction

The IPM multiphase surface network optimisation platform, GAP, has been available and used by engineers for almost two decades now. GAP has been instrumental in assisting Engineers to make operational decisions on a daily and long terms forecasting basis. However in the latter context using a *full rigorous mathematical Non-Linear Optimisation* for a 40 year forecast may be excessive, and lead to longer run times. The three alternatives (to date) have been:

- 1. GAP: to perform a prediction using **no optimisation** which is faster but the drawback is that no imposed constraints are honoured.
- OpenServer: to control the wells with some bespoke logic using OpenServer, but the drawback is to create and maintain a bespoke piece of logic
- RESOLVE: Implement logic in RESOLVE to control the well responses (the drawback of which is to have to create a bespoke pieces of logic).
 - Historically (Using Event driven scheduling)
 - Recently (Using Visual workflows)

This has left the engineer desiring some kind of halfway house: a simulation that *does not optimise* but also *honours any imposed constraints*. This desire led to the creation of the Rule based Solver (RBS) in IPM9, and is the subject of this article.

Context - Degeneracy

Consider the below offshore system represented in GAP: all the wells are producing at high rates with gas lift gas and wellhead choke control, delivering the commingled fluid to the Floating production Storage and Offloading vessel (FPSO).



As with most FPSO' space is a premium and as such the liquid handling capacity of the separator is limited to 150,000STBD (where each well is limited to 35,000STBD). Each well also have drawdown and gas lift gas constraints.

In this particular context all the wells have a similar watercuts and as such the Optimiser will find multiple control combinations (wellhead chokes, gas lift gas allocation) that satisfy the separator constraint imposed.



This is called degeneracy and at this point the engineer has to assist the optimiser in guiding the algorithm to find a field practical solution. When guidance is not given (in the form of logic) then the optimiser may flip wells on and off from one timestep to the next based upon fractional differences in watercut (see below production rates from an optimised run) the results may be impractical to implement in the field.

Newsletter



The RESOLVE approach

The logic has been historically defined in RESOLVE (where a controlling logic is defined in Workflows, and within this logic the nonlinear optimiser finds the best solution). A watercut based logic is shown below as an example:





The below screen shot shows the production profile of a single well using the two approaches (optimisation and logic): it can be seen that the logic approach is a much smoother profile, but the cost of this is less production.

The Rule Based Solver Methodology

The above sections justify the development/application of a rules based approach. The Rules based solver approach implemented in IPM9.0 does the following:

- Constrain production from wells until the imposed constraints are honoured
- Allow users to set weightings so that specific wells can be preferentially produced (as would be done in the field)
- Recognise the constraints in a hierarchical way (i.e. the constraints are recognised with respect to position in the surface network, and on which equipment they are applied)



- For powered systems, minimise power consumption before choking wells
- For artificially lifted systems, artificially lift rates (ALQs) are first minimised before choking wells
- For Gas lifted systems (where the response is non-linear and best suited to an optimisation algorithm) uses an "equal returns" approach (i.e. this is better than nothing, and faster than full optimisation)

Α.

	GAP Rule Bas	ed Network Solver	
S can be activated fr	om the Solver window in GAP:		
Network Solver			
Solver solution cannot be improved an Max Pressure Drop Difference 17.3507 Max Mass Balance Difference 2.27610 Time taken : 3.650 secs CPU time : 3.650 secs	er to inectoons 76 pai 4e-10 lbm/sec		
Log <u>Constraints</u> Li	milling Script Messages		Copy
Log Constraints Li Solver 0.050000	miting Script Messages		
Log <u>Constraints</u> Li Solver Last Error 0.0602099 Iteration # 16	RBNS Last Guess		Copy
Log <u>Constraints</u> Li Solver Last Error 0.0602099 Iteration # 16 Mode	miting Script Messages FBNS Last Guess Renation #		Сору

Examples

The following section shows two examples of where the RBS has been applied:

- 1. Gas Condensate System with constraints on the well
- 2. Large Gas/Oil field with multiple contracts

Example 1

The below GAP model containing gas and condensate producers producing from multiple layers was solved

- Optimization •
 - Gas Constraints Met
 - Liquid optimized
- **Rule Based** •
 - Gas Constraints Met
 - Less liquid produced

with (i) Optimisation and (ii) the RBS for a solve network and forecast. The results are seen below.

Varianda Wart Charles and Antonio Hause 2013 Anton Varianda Wart Charles Antonio Hause Martino Schert and Antonio Hause Antonio Hause Martino Hause Antonio Hause Antonio Hause Martino Hause Antonio Hause Antonio Hause Martino Hause Antonio Hause Antonio Hause	Constrainty (Volated Net Cose) / Tatel (Max Volation %) System OX System Gas + (8.27) / 28 Constrained allow exited with status 4 finite.co. constraint digramment stalled metric based on the status 2 finite.co. Constraint digramment stalled Tate status 15.875 secs 10.976 secs
Contraction Lambourg Social Messages Software Contraction Contraction Contraction Land Enviro 0.0027-003 Land Contraction Soldition Meeticinie 1 Meedicinie 6-1	Long Constraints Lensing Scipit Messages Solver PRPS3 Last Cours Last Cours<
Mode ^ No Sphereston ^ Bule Based Spheres with all constraints ^ Optimize with Spheres with all constraints ^ Optimize with Spheres with all constraints ^ Optimize with Spheres with all constraints ^ Optimize with all constraints ^ Optimize with Spheres with all constraints ^ Optimize with all constraints ^ Optimize with Spheres with all constraints ^ Optimize with all co	Mode C No Optimisation Bude Based C Optimise with all constraints Coloride Back Main Loip Settings





In this context running a long term forecast quickly is best achieved using the RBS. Of course this magnitude difference is system dependent, and as each system varies so too will the difference.

Discussions

In GAP there are now three modes in which the system can be solved:

- No Optimisation (finds the natural equilibrium of the system as per pressures and mass balances)
- Rule Based (modifies the natural equilibrium to achieve more hydrocarbon by modifying controllable elements using rules – this is not optimisation)
- Optimisation (modifies the natural equilibrium to achieve more hydrocarbon by modifying controllable elements using a rigorous mathematical fully nonlinear optimisation algorithm)

Depending upon the context, the engineer can decide which is most appropriate. If the built in rules are not suitable (despite using well weighting to guide the rules), then the engineer still has the flexibility to build bespoke logic using visual workflows.

Variable Well " Variable Well " Solver solution Optimiser finish Max Mass Bala Max Mass Bala Time taken : 5 ri CPU time : 5 mi	NIS new reduction ve 3912 rote reduction ve W03_A16' rate reduct reached in 0 iteration ed Code -2 Orop Difference 8.576 mins 22 secs ns 22 secs	alue 0.562627 jon value 1 is i701e-5 bar 3731 tonne/da	Y	
Log	Constraints	Limiting	Script	
Solver		Optimis	er	
LastError		Last	luess	
Iteration #		hera	tion #	
Mode		a a cutor	se with all c	onstre

Optimization

Gas constraints met

Liquid optimized



- Liqui optir	Liquid 10% less that optimization		
Processing control values to Performing final solver exited to Constrained solver exited to Mare Pressure During Otherem	In final schue en diseased control similargy dis status 2. Constants converged successfully on 2 DISC272 for law		
and the second second second	00185722 tunne/dev		
Time taken: 10.546 secs CPU Sme: 10.530 secs	DITRATZ2 Norme/day		
Time taken 10.546 sect CPU time 10.530 secs	0118322 torina (day		
Time taken: 13 Sel sect CPU line: 13 S20 sect	111111212100002004		
Tene taxen: 15 56 juect CPU tene: 15 33 juect CPU tene: 15 33 juect CPU tene: 15 55 juect Comment	a. <u>brog</u> <u>bog</u> <u>Messaga</u>		
Tene tues: 1338 sectors CPUI-leve 1333 tests State Construct 64,044	n <u>Uning</u> <u>Supp</u> <u>Massages</u> Ref Ref 19-7		